

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 09/252,925 | 02/19/1999 | SHINJI OHNISHI | 35.C13340 | 5040 |
| 5514 7590 10/08/2003 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | NGUYEN, HANH N | |
| • • | 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| · | | | 2662 | -14 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/08/200 | 3 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/252,925 | OHNISHI ET AL. | | | | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| • | Hanh Nguyen | 2662 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which | ation. A proper reply to a | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | • | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | eter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI fextension and the corresponding amo he shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | |
| (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or | better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or simplifying the | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: The newly added features in claims 20, 2 | 6, 36 and 41 require further search | <u>hes</u> . | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | ion(s): | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | reconsideration has been consi | dered but does NOT place the | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 20, 26, 36, 39-41, 44 and 45. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp | roved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | HASSAN KIZOU SUPERVISORY PATENT EX TECHNOLOGY CENTER | | | | | |